

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WWW Hento gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,389 04/14/2004		004	Alberto Patarchi	163-545 6413	
47888	7590	11/03/2006		EXAMINER	
	& COSTIGAN		PRESTON, ERIK D		
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/824,389	PATARCHI, ALBERTO						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Erik D. Preston	2834						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
• •	THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 October 2006. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or 	• •	ducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	1 77	"						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the						
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		Il be entered and an explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-14.		•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:						
42. [] Nate the attached information Disclosure Obj.	(DTO (CD (00) Day == N = (=)							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/58/08) Paper No(s)							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: While amending the claims to further include the limitation of the magnetic induction core being formed as a single body may overcome the rejection based on the prior art of record, it also raises a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search on the part of the examiner, and for that reason, will not be entered after final.